IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

MONDAY, THE 4TH JUNE 2007 / 14TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 11305 of 2007(U)

---

PETITIONER:

---

A.K.GOPALAN,
ANUBHAVAN,KARIKKODE P.O.,
PERUVA, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS. SMT.K.USHA
SRI.A.D.SHAJAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESETNED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DAIRY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTORATE,
SECRETRIAT,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. GEORGEKUTTY, EDAKKARIKODE,
KARIKODE P.O., PERUVA,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR,
DAIRY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT,
KOTTAYAM.

4. KARIKODE KSHEEROLPADAKA SAHAKARANA
SANGHAM LTD, NO.K.77(D), APCOS,
KARIKODE P.O., KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.
REP. BY THE SECRETARY.

5. MULAKULAM PANCHAYAT,
SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD. NO.K.209,
PERUVA P.O., KOTTAYAM DISTRICT,
REP. BY SECRETARY.

R1 & R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER
SRI.P.K.RAVIKRISHNAN
R2 BY ADV. SRI.THAMPAN THOMAS

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 04/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

## APPENDIX

**PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS:**

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE NO.C/87/2007 DTD. 8.3.07 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE DTD. 22.3.2007, ISSUED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.C/461/05 DTD. 16.3.2005 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT TO GIVE SANCTION TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO 4TH RESPONDENT.

EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DTD. 21.3.2005 ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY DTD. 26.3.2007 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P6: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.C/87/2007 DTD. 26.3.2007 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P7: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.C/87/2007 DTD. 26.3.2007 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P8: A TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL DTD.9.5.2007 BEFORE THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. DAIRY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTORATE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

**RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL**

//true copy//

P.S. To Judge

SK/-

**K.M.JOSEPH, J.**

---

**W.P.(C) No.11305 of 2007 U**

---

**Dated this the 4th day of June, 2007.**

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner has approached this court challenging Exts.P6 and P7. Against Ext.P6, the petitioner has preferred Ext.P8 appeal before the first respondent.

2. Heard counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader.

3. In the light of the fact that the petitioner has moved the appellate forum, I do not think it necessary to go into the contentions raised against Exts.P6 and P7.

The writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to consider and take a decision on Ext.P8, in accordance with law, after hearing the affected parties, as expeditiously as possible, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Sd/-

**(K.M.JOSEPH)**

**JUDGE**

sk/

**//true copy//**

P.S. To Judge